"THE SECRETARY REPORTS—"

(In this space appear regularly all official Association documents)

ARBITRATION REPORT

As required in Section 8(k) of the intration rules, your Secretary reports randing Case No. 1440, P. R. Markley, inc., Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, plainaif, and George W. Hoyland, Inc., insas City Missouri, defendant.

The dispute arose over the sale of a ar of Bran by the defendant to the mintiff. The question involved is the ability of the defendant for damages xcause the Bran was out of condition. there is no dispute as to the following icts: The Bran was sold to arrive sound, and sweet. The car arrived at 11:05 a.m., Friday, August 27. It was aspected Tuesday, August 31. When inpeted, the Bran was found to be hot, aked and sour. The weather during the une it stood on track was very hot. Amount involved \$750.00. Rules govern-3g this care are Rule 13 and Rule 13 b).

The committee considering this case ras composed of Mr. Leland C. Miller, Federal-North Iowa Grain Company, Chairman; James A. Gould, McKee Feed Grain Company, Muscatine, Iowa; and Moses Cohen, Atlantic Grain Company, New York, New York. The company, New York, New York. The company, New York, New York The company, New York

In arriving at this decision we have placed emphasis upon the existence of

Rule 13, Section (b) of the Trade Rules. We have felt that we could not ignore this rule subscribed to by each member of the association to which both plantiff and defendant belong. This rule was not observed by the plaintiff although we do not doubt the sincerity and the arguments by the plantiff nor those advanced by our fellow committee member as to why the car was not inspected sooner than four calendar days or three business days after arrival. We do feel, however, that if local conditions prevail thereby making it impractical to inspect a car within the time limit stated in Section (b), Rule 13, then the buyer shall not be relieved of responsibilities he may have under Section (b), Rule 13 by reason of these local conditions over which he may have some control.

The car may hvae been out of condition on the day of its arrival. It certainly was out of condition four days thereafter. The producer of the Bran offered the plaintiff \$10.00 per ton to settle the argument which was refused in the face of the Trade Rules. Later both parties agreed to arbitrate according to what the Arbitration Committee found in the testimony and according to the Trade Rules to which they were bound. We therefore, find that Trade Rule 13 Section (b) was not observed by the plaintiff and his claim of \$750.00 against the defendant be not awarded.